OBSECTION 1.

heyond the bounday of this freshit and enters neighbouring boundries. Thus entering down from for other Deter Nin, Regarding the regular by 132, the wint of the apposed area is somewhat to wide . It This comes Park Road for sacess to this garage. I do not have every usues with their request. However I do think that

During the standing yarking is not an inthe Sen, to 6pm, pertaining a problem. Many of the consist not herewas to have a ferhing formit and formit have been some formit and formit and formit and formit and some bon, thus many of the vehicle are worths want to thing up more

spores, Mesa issues were housed of out the Time when the idea of hashing formits was conceived, If the forthing restriction time was inexased to lay 8pm or even 10pm. Then there would not be un many problems.

your Simerely.

OBSECTION 2.

Bunex B

## Mike Knowles

Subject:

FW: Objection to change of parking - Unity Street, Sittingbourne

Please see our thoughts below with regards to the parking restriction changes in Unity Street.

In the last few years there have been a number of changes to parking restrictions in Unity Street and Connaught road that have led to a reduction in parking spaces in an area that we are already facing a real struggle for parking.

Very often we find ourselves having to park a substantial way from our house, something we knew we risked when we first moved in, but as more and more of these restrictions are approved the problem is exacerbated.

A couple of years ago the flats on Connaught road were built which both created double lines and more residents in the area, taking up more spaces. The hairdressers was also opened and a business parking permit has been created so most days an additional space is taken in the area.

Our main objection with the newest request for change to parking restrictions is that the double yellow lines are going to be placed in such a way that they reduce parking availability by two spaces, where only one car will be coming off the road (presumably the residents will be using the garage to park their car). So this means we will be negative one parking space.

Our suggestion has been to limit the double yellow lines to just go across the garage doors, therefore only losing one parking space rather than two.

Although this probably seems a minor change, the parking situation around this area is already a significant challenge with not enough spaces for residents, and if this request is approved it sets a president for changes of this sort to also be approved in the future. We are then likely to get into an increasingly difficult situation with residents parking.

If this and other similar requests are being approved we may also be forced to request the same amendment for parking restrictions to our garage, again, reducing the parking availability even further. With two young children I can't risk having to park further and further away from our house, especially on a road as busy as Park Road.

If I can provide any further information at this stage please let me know.

Many thanks,	